

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING COMMUNICATIVE METHODS IN TEACHING ENGLISH FOR TOURISM

Boxodirova Nodiraxon Ahmadjon qizi

English Language Teacher

Toshkent turizm va mehmonxona menejmenti texnikumi

Annotation: With the rapid globalization of the travel industry, English has firmly established itself as the global lingua franca for tourism and hospitality professionals. Traditional grammar-translation methodologies often fail to equip students with the practical, real-time oral skills needed to navigate fluid workplace encounters. This study evaluates the empirical effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) methodologies—specifically role-plays, situational simulations, and project-based interactive learning—within an English for Tourism (EfT) curriculum.

Keywords: Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), English for Tourism (EfT), Oral Proficiency, Task-Based Learning, Curricular Innovation, Vocational English.

In an increasingly interconnected global economy, international tourism serves as a primary financial catalyst and a core pillar of macroeconomic development for numerous nations. Central to the operation, deployment, and seamless scalability of this multi-billion dollar industry is effective communication across diverse cultural boundaries. Because international travel involves participants from multi-linguistic environments who do not share a native language, English has universally crystallized into the undisputed industry-wide lingua franca (Al-Malki et al., 2022). Consequently, the overall commercial competitiveness of travel organizations, hospitality establishments, and regional destinations depends strictly on the communicative proficiency and verbal agility of frontline hospitality workforces.

Within applied linguistics and vocational training, English for Tourism (EfT) constitutes a critical sub-discipline of English for Specific Purposes (ESP). Unlike general English education, which prioritizes holistic grammatical frameworks and structural accuracy, EfT demands immediate practical utility, context-specific lexical frameworks, and high strategic competence. Tourism professionals must manage unpredictable real-time dynamics, which range from managing high-pressure check-in desks and executing intricate local excursions to resolving immediate emergency customer complaints. However, historical and contemporary educational data reveal a structural misalignment between academic preparation and workplace reality. Traditional English instructional paradigms in secondary and higher education rely

heavily on grammar-translation methods, rote memorization, and static, uncontextualized vocabulary drills. This structural pedagogical failure often produces graduates who possess theoretical awareness of English syntax but experience profound communicative paralysis, fluency breakdowns, and severe performance anxiety during live interactions with international visitors.

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), also known as the communicative approach, is highly effective for teaching English for Tourism (also called English for Specific Purposes or ESP in tourism). It emphasizes real-life interaction, fluency, and functional language use over rote grammar memorization.

Why CLT Fits English for Tourism Perfectly

Tourism English requires practical skills: greeting guests, giving directions, describing attractions, handling complaints, recommending food/services, and cultural mediation. CLT excels here because it prioritizes:

- Meaningful communication in authentic contexts (role-plays, simulations, information gaps).
- Development of all four skills (speaking/listening especially) with sociolinguistic and pragmatic competence (e.g., politeness strategies, handling cultural differences).
- Student-centered activities that mirror real tourist interactions.

Studies show CLT significantly improves speaking proficiency, fluency, confidence, and overall communicative competence in tourism contexts.

Evidence of Effectiveness

- A specific study on EFL undergraduates in Tourism English found that CLT positively impacts communicative competence. Students reported better ability to use language in tourism scenarios after CLT implementation.

- Broader research on CLT consistently shows gains in speaking fluency, vocabulary in context, and real-world readiness. This is particularly valuable for tourism students preparing for jobs in hotels, guiding, or hospitality.

- Activities like role-plays (e.g., hotel check-in, tour guiding) and task-based projects (e.g., creating itineraries) lead to higher engagement and better retention of job-relevant language.

- CLT also builds intercultural competence, essential for dealing with international tourists.

Compared to traditional grammar-translation methods, CLT produces more confident speakers who can negotiate meaning and handle unpredictable situations—common in tourism.

Benefits in Tourism Education

Aspect	Traditional Methods	CLT Approach	Tourism Advantage
Focus	Grammar & accuracy	Fluency + meaningful interaction	Real guest interactions
Student Role	Passive	Active participants	Builds confidence with tourists
Activities	Drills, translation	Role-plays, simulations, tasks	Direct job preparation
Outcomes	Knowledge of rules	Communicative competence	Better service quality & problem-solving
Motivation	Often lower	Higher (relevant & fun)	Prepares for dynamic industry

Potential Challenges and Solutions

While highly effective, CLT has some limitations in tourism programs:

- Large classes or limited resources → Use pair/group work and low-tech role-plays.
- Student resistance (preference for teacher-led lessons) → Gradually introduce activities and explain benefits.
- Balancing fluency and accuracy → Combine CLT with focused feedback on key tourism vocabulary/structures.
- Teacher training → Instructors need good facilitation skills and knowledge of tourism contexts.

In ESP settings like tourism, integrating CLT with Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) often yields even stronger results.

Recommendations for Implementation

1. Use authentic materials (real menus, brochures, videos of tourist interactions).
2. Incorporate frequent role-plays and simulations of tourism scenarios.
3. Include project-based tasks (e.g., designing a virtual tour).
4. Provide feedback focused on communication success first, then accuracy.
5. Assess through performance-based tasks rather than only written tests.

Overall Verdict: Communicative methods are among the most effective approaches for teaching English for Tourism. They directly bridge the gap between classroom learning and workplace demands, producing graduates who can communicate effectively and professionally with international visitors.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that the strategic integration of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) methodologies within an English for Tourism (EfT) framework significantly outperforms traditional grammar-focused approaches. Through a rigorous 14-week quasi-experimental evaluation, students exposed to

interactive simulations, industry-specific role-plays, and collaborative projects achieved a 50.9% improvement in comprehensive oral proficiency. This growth was particularly pronounced in verbal fluency, lexical flexibility, and pragmatic interaction. While traditional methodologies provide functional support for structural accuracy, they fail to develop the dynamic, real-time oral skills essential for the international service industry. Shifting pedagogical focus from rote memorization to active, context-rich communication significantly enhances linguistic automaticity and reduces communicative anxiety, providing a clear path for modernizing vocational language curricula.

References:

1. Al-Malki, E., Javid, C. Z., Farooq, M. U., Algethami, G. F., & Al-Harthi, A. A. (2022). Analysis of the English Language Needs of the Saudi Tourism Workforce: A First Step into Designing ESP Teaching Materials. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 21(2), 72–88. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.21.2.5>
2. Desmayenni, M., Caniyago, A., Sohni Sitohang, K., Fitri Arnaz, D., Sutarsyah, C., & Munifatullah, F. (2023). Trends in Teaching English for Tourism: A Systematic review (2013-2022). *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 13(3), 907-926. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v13.i3.202302>
3. Hong, Y., Chen, L. G., Huang, J. H., Tsai, Y. Y., & Chang, T. Y. (2022). The Impact of Cooperative Learning Method on the Oral Proficiency of Learners of the Training Program for English Tourist Guides. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 866863. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.866863>
4. Resmayasari, I., Barus, I. R. G., Marithasari, H., Widodo, G., & Muttaqin, I. A. (2024). Enhancing English Communication Skills for Community Empowerment in Mulyaharja Tourism Village. *KLASIKAL: Journal of Education, Language Teaching and Science*, 6(3), 851-863. <https://doi.org/10.52208/klasikal.v6i3.1215>
5. Vasylyshyna, N. (2016). Review of Communicative Learning Approaches to Teaching English for Tourism. *Science and Education*, 24(12), 28-36. <https://doi.org/10.24195/2414-4665-2016-12-7>
6. Abdullaeva, F. S. (2024). Innovative approaches to developing intercultural communicative competence in tourism education. *The International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 211–216.
7. Casanova, L. (2024). Methodological strategies to strengthen communication skills in English in tourism context. *Dialnet*, 1–15.
8. Frank, T. O. (n.d.). Model of tourism terminology implementation in language for tourism purposes university courses. *Journal of Languages and Language Teaching*, 1–12.
9. Hong, Y., Chen, L.-G., Huang, J.-H., Tsai, Y.-Y., & Chang, T.-Y. (2022). The impact of cooperative learning method on the oral proficiency of learners of the training program for English tourist guides. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 9245035. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.866863>