

## A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TASK-BASED LEARNING AND TRADITIONAL GRAMMAR-TRANSLATION METHOD IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS.

*Saburova Shaxlo Ixtiyor qizi*

*saburovashaxlo7@gmail.com*

*Student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages, Samarkand, Uzbekistan*

*Hasanova Sevinch Hotam qizi*

*hasanovasevinc906@gmail.com*

*Teacher of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages*

**Abstract:** The importance of deciding on the most appropriate teaching style cannot be overstated. The goal remains to enhance learners' linguistic knowledge, proficiency, and communication skills. Given that English is a global lingua franca, there is a need for innovative, learner-centered, and even more personalized teaching strategies that focus on more than the basics of grammar and rote memorization. For a long time, and particularly in situations where reading and writing skills are dominant, the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) is still one of the most dominant approaches used in English language classrooms. In such situations, the focus has been on the translation of literary works, and the teaching of grammar, while the speaking and listening components are left out. In contrast to this, Task-Based Learning (TBL) focuses on real-world and real-life strategies in a more communicative approach that encourages a learner-centered environment based on student interaction. This study examines the merits, challenges, and overall effectiveness of the TBL and GTM approaches in English language teaching.

**Keywords:** task-based learning, grammar-translation method, english language teaching, language acquisition, communicative competence, traditional methods, second language learning.

### INTRODUCTION

As the field of language education grows, it becomes more important to choose a teaching method that will improve the constituent and communicative skills of the learners. The English language is the global lingua franca, so it requires innovative and more centric and learner teaching approaches that transcend pure mechanical learning and grammar drills. The grammar-translation method (GTM) has, for a long time, been one of the most widely used approaches to teaching the English language, particularly in contexts with a heavy emphasis on reading and writing. This is because GTM

focuses particularly on the translation of texts in addition to mastering grammar to the detriment of speaking and listening. On the contrary, Task-Based Learning (TBL) is a more contemporary and communicative methodology which focuses on tasks and engagement with learners. This research will be undertaking an exploration of TBL and GTM's effectiveness, strengths, and shortcomings in the context of English language classrooms. It focuses on the influence of the two on learner motivation and acquisition of the language, and the flow of the classroom in order to help teachers, curriculum developers, and policy-makers identify tactics that optimize classroom objectives and meet the real needs of learners in the most motivation-boosting and acquisition-effective way.

### **I. Theoretical framework and overview of teaching methods**

In the teaching of English the method adopted is of paramount importance in a good language achievement. Several teaching methods have been developed over the years but Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and Task-Based Learning (TBL) are two notable conflicting approaches. These two techniques, based on different theories, generate dynamic and learning differences in the classroom. GTM comes from the instruction of classical languages (Latin and Ancient Greek).

Its foundations are structured around behaviourist and structuralist theories of language learning. These theories posit that language is a set of procedures and rules suitable for learning by rote, memorization and error correction. In practice, GTM is very much focused on translating first language to target language texts into one another and teaching grammar rules directly. It's a controls-based approach: the teacher makes rules, guides students' learning and is there to correct any mistakes.

Students will as passive learners and speaking/listening is often neglected compared to reading/writing as the two main skills practiced. TBL focuses on tasks that are carried out in meaningful contexts and similar to language use in daily life. These tasks might include troubleshooting, giving directions, planning an event or conducting a poll. So in addition to the restriction on strict *linguistra causua*, there is also freedom in how the language may be instrumentalized by communication.

While learners have opportunities for peer-to-peer interaction, meaning negotiation, and reflecting on own performance (albeit within a transactional framework), the teacher takes on the role of a facilitator in this paradigm. Furthermore, the evolution of the four skills is in "four stages to add more meaning, interrelation and utility to each skill.

The two methods in the given examples reflect the two polarities of language instruction. The grammar-translation approach is suitable for students who require a strong foundation in grammar and is based on antiquated, traditional, structural pedagogy. Task-based learning promotes a more interactive and integrative approach, with the learning being more focused on the student, and aligns with modern

communicative perspectives on language use. By considering the two methods in this way, the instructor can determine which approach is best for the students, the desired learning objectives, and the surrounding circumstances.

## **II. Comparative analysis in classroom contexts**

There are two different approaches to teaching English: Task-Based Learning (TBL) and the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM). The efficacy of each approach varies based on learner objectives, classroom contexts, and institutional settings. Following the teacher's explanations of grammar, students translate sentences from their native tongue into English and vice versa in a typical grammar-translation class. This approach works well in settings that emphasize academic writing, reading comprehension, and standardized testing. Strong vocabulary retention and grammar knowledge are developed by learners. However, GTM frequently restricts oral communication, and students may have trouble speaking spontaneously, fluently, and with pronunciation.

On the other hand, task-based learning places more emphasis on communication in the real world. The focus of the lessons is on completing activities that simulate real-world scenarios, like making travel plans, resolving issues, or acting out scenarios. Learners collaborate in groups or pairs, have conversations, and make meaningful use of the target language in TBL classes. Instead of only emphasizing accuracy, teachers serve as facilitators, promoting language use. Students are typically more involved and motivated as a result. Although TBL fosters communicative confidence, listening comprehension, and fluency, it might not provide as much grammatical precision as GTM unless it is paired with targeted language training. When comparing the two approaches, GTM works best for students who value academic objectives, structure, and rule-based learning, whereas TBL works best in interactive, communicative learning settings.

## **III. Findings, implications, and recommendations**

Examining TBL and GTM in English language classrooms uncovers distinctions in learning outcomes, learner engagement, and skills differently developed. TBL leads to greater fluency, motivational change in listeners and speakers, and overall skill improvement. Students are willingly task engaged, peer collaborative, and meaningfully contextualized their English application. Comparatively, GTM learners retain vocabulary and comprehend reading, yet their grammar and communicative performances lack spontaneity, which is more problematic in conversation.

Conclusions and implications surrounding teaching methods highlight the importance of specific learning goals. For example, in GTM-centered learning, which is suitable for exam-obliged academic situations, learners will develop grammar skills. In contrast, learners without any academic goals but wanting to develop communicative functional skills and language interactivity can benefit from TBL.

- These insights lead to the following formulated recommendations:
- To achieve balance in fluidity and precision, utilize a combination of TBL and GTM language-focused blended approaches.
- Incorporating both approaches into educator training will assist teachers in adjusting to the diverse learning styles of their pupils.
- Even in the most conventional programs, curriculum planners must add task-centered designs to promote interest and the application of skills.
- With any strategy, the preferences of the students, their culture, and the resources on hand will always be crucial to the educational process.

In summary, a rigid methodology, particularly in language instruction, will be detrimental. The most beneficial outcome is achieved with a shift in focus and a methodology that seamlessly integrates aspects of both TBL and GTM.

### CONCLUSION

The study compares Task-Based Learning (TBL) with the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) and outlines the pros and cons of both methods in the English language classroom. It appears TBL improves learners' communicative competence especially in the speaking and listening domains. It also improves learners' motivation and participation through activities that are real. On the other hand, GTM assists learners in developing accuracy in grammar and retaining vocabulary and is beneficial for reading and writing, thus suitable for learners with exams or academic purposes. Since there are always learning goals and a classroom context, it stands to reason that there will not be one best method. This findings leads to the conclusion that there may be best one a blended or balanced one. This gives the learner comprehensive language development as TBL promotes fluency and engagement while GTM offers the structure and accuracy needed.

### References

1. Ellis R. *Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2003 — 2p.
2. Larsen-Freeman D. *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching*. Oxford University Press. 2000— 4p.
3. Nunan D. *Task-Based Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2004— 3p.
4. Shintan N. *Input-based Tasks in Foreign Language Instruction for Young Learners*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing. 2016— 5p.
5. Richards J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. 2014— 6p.